

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,421	10/25/2001	Ajit Karmaker	97-2027-D	97-2027-D 3073	
23413	7590 04/23/2004		EXAMINER		
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP			SZEKELY, PETER A		
	ROAD SOUTH D, CT 06002		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1714		
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004	DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Annliection No.	Amplicant(a)	$ \nu$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	10/002,421 KARMAKER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Szekely	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ide(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Fe	ebruary 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 10-25</u> is/are pending in the ap	polication		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are withdrawn f			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-25</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 and 10-17</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	•		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 October 2001</u> is/are:		to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			I(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies flot receive	u.	
Attachment(s)	∧ □	(DTO 440)	
)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Application/Control Number: 10/002,421 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 3, lines 7-8 provisional Application 60/055,590 is mentioned. It is now U.S. Patent 6,039,569. U.S. Application 08/907,177, mentioned on page 15, line 23 has been abandoned. U.S. Application 09/055,590, mentioned at the same place matured into U.S. Patent 6,011,184.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The "woven" fibers in claims 11 and 21 cannot be found in the specification. The pontic having the same strain to failure as the structural component is also missing from the specification (claims 12 and 22).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- "Greater than about" is indefinite. See Amgen, Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical
 Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

Application/Control Number: 10/002,421

Art Unit: 1714

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 7. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 24-31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,039,569. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the compositions and the process steps are identical.
- 8. Claims 7-15 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 and 24-25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,186,790 in view of U.S Patent. 6,039,569. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the pontic of the ('790) patent in the bridge of the ('569) patent, since they are made from the same material and fit each other perfectly.
- 9. Claims 7-15 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-5 of U.S. Patent No.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,421 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

6,200,136. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the structures and the composites are identical. A Terminal Disclaimer has to be signed by an attorney of record mentioned in the Oath or added by Power of Attorney.

Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments filed 2/25/04 have been fully considered and they are persuasive. The obviousness rejection and the objections in paragraph 2 of the Final Rejection are withdrawn by the examiner in light of applicant's response. Applicant should cancel the withdrawn, non-elected claims, when filing the Terminal Disclaimers in order to ensure patentability.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,421 Page 5

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. 4/21/04